

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,225	01/11/2002	Joseph F. Keller	2396	8990
Reck & Tysver	7590 10/18/20 • PIIC	07	EXAM	INER
Beck & Tysver, P.L.L.C. Suite 100			, TINKLER, MURIEL S	
2900 Thomas Avenue S. Minneapolis, MN 55416			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/044,225	KELLER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Muriel Tinkler	3691			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period fo			(a) a = = = (aa) = av(a			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	<i>ıly</i> 2007.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		67			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-18</u> is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>9-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or en	n from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
,						
12) 🗌 a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Application Trity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachme						
2) Noti 3) Info	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 11/13/2002.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been reviewed. Claims 1-18 are pending. Claims 1-8 have been elected and reviewed. The rejection(s) are as stated below.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-8 in the reply filed on July 30, 2007 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 2-8 recites the limitation "A mailer sheet" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claims should read "The mailer sheet" instead of "A mailer sheet". The claims as written, creates a problem that when read makes it unclear if the Applicant is referring to the same mailer sheet of claim 1 or a different mailer sheet using the same steps as claim 1. Appropriate correction to claims 2-8 is required. The Examiner will proceed to review claims 2-8, assuming that the Applicant refers to the <u>same</u> mailer sheet of claim 1.

Art Unit: 3691

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Olson et al. (US 5,667,134), hereafter referred to as Olson.
- 7. Claim 1 discusses a mailer sheet comprising first and second opposite sides, each said side divided into first and second sections by a line of reduced strength allowing folding along said reduced strength line and allowing selective detachment of one section from the other; said mailer sheet including: a) a primary addressee region on said first side, first section; b) a secondary addressee region on said second side, second section; c) a signature region on said first side, second section; and d) text on said mailer sheet describing that a signature placed in said signature region constitutes authorization to withdraw a described fee from a described account. See Olson, figures 1 & 2.
- 8. Claim 2 discusses a mailer sheet according to claim 1, further comprising a unique identifier associated with a record stored in a database. See the rejection of claim 1 above. See also Olsen, element 36.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,225 Page 4

Art Unit: 3691

9. Claim 4 discusses a mailer sheet according to claim 1, wherein said mailer sheet is sized such that when folded along said line of reduced strength, and complies with US Postal regulations for double postcard. See the rejection of claim 1 above. See also Olson, column 1 (lines 8-19).

- 10. Claims 5 and 6 discuss a mailer sheet according to claim 1, wherein said first and second sections are each 4.25 inches by 6 inches. See the rejection of claim 1 above. See also Olson, column 2 (lines 35-67) and figures 1 & 2.
- 11. Claim 7 discusses a mailer sheet according to claim 1 of card stock material. See the rejection of claim 1 above. See also Olson, column 4 (lines 2-19).
- 12. Claim 8 discusses a mailer sheet according to claim 1, wherein said line of reduced strength is a perforated line. See the rejection of claim 1 above. See also Olson, Abstract.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3691

- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 15. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bellinger et al. (US 5,870,725), hereafter referred to as Bellinger.
- 16. Claim 3 discusses a mailer sheet according to claim 1, further comprising a miniature image of a previously written, processed, and returned negotiable instrument. See the rejection of claim 1 above. Olson discloses the information in claim 1. Olson does not disclose displaying an image of a previously written, processed, and returned negotiable instrument. Bellinger teaches this in column 14 (lines 42-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Olson to include an image of a processes check because it provides a receipt to the user verifying that funds have been processed accurately.

Art Unit: 3691

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Muriel Tinkler whose telephone number is (571)272-7976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM until 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571)272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MT

October 10, 2007

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER